arXiv:1202.5502v1 [math.AT] 24 Feb 2012

A CONFIGURATION SPACE FOR EQUIVARIANT CONNECTIVE K-HOMOLOGY

MARIO VELÁSQUEZ

Abstract. Following ideas of Graeme Segal we construct a configuration space that represents equivariant connective K-homology for group actions of finite groups and furthermore we describe explicitly the complex homology of this configuration space as a Hopf algebra. As a consequence of this work we obtain models of representing spaces for equivariant K-theory.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to set a description in terms of configuration spaces of the equivariant connective homology theory associated to equivariant K-theory, we will denote this homology theory as *equivariant connective K-homology.* We also find a description of the homology of the fixed point space of these configuration spaces in terms of some Hopf algebras studied initially by Segal in [Segal(1996)] and generalized by Wang in [Wang(2000)]. We follow ideas of Graeme Segal, and most of the results and proofs generalize results contained in [Segal(1977)] and [Segal(1996)] for the equivariant setup. On the other hand it answers a question asked by Wang in [Wang(2000)] about the possibility to relate a Hopf algebra defined by him with the homology of some configuration space generalizing Segal's work. Finally as a consequence of this work we have obtained a model for the equivariant K-theory spectrum that in analogy with the ideas presented in [Hohnhold et al.(2010)Hohnhold, Stolz, and Teichner] must be the 'simplest' from all the models known. The results in this paper corresponds with the PhD thesis of the author [Velásquez(2012)].

The first appearance of configuration spaces was possibly in the Dold-Thom Theorem ([Dold and Thom(1958)]). In this work the authors relate the *reduced singular homology* group of a based finite CW-complex (X, x_0) with the topology of a configuration space consisting of finite subsets of X

Date: February 23, 2012 and, in revised form...

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19L41, 19L47; Secondary 55N91, 57T05.

Key words and phrases. Dold-Thom theorem, equivariant K-homology, configuration spaces, KK-theory, equivariant Chern classes.

The author was partially supported by COLCIENCIAS through the grant 'Becas Generación del Bicentenario' #494, FUNDACIÓN MAZDA PARA EL ARTE Y LA CIENCIA. and by Prof. Wolfgang Lück through his 'Leibniz Prize' .

which not contain x_0 and where each element has a multiplicity (i.e each element is labeled by a positive integer). The topology of the configuration space must have the following properties:

(1) If two elements converge to a third element, the label in the limit will be the limit of the sum of the labels in the initial points.
(2) If a sequence converges to x_0, then the labels converge to 0.

It is possible to obtain a more systematic definition of this configuration space, let us see how.

Consider the natural action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ over X^n, we can include X^n in X^{n+1} in the following way

$$X^n \to X^{n+1}$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

when x_0 is the base point of X. Using this identification we define

$$SP^\infty := \varinjlim_n X^n/\mathfrak{S}^n,$$

with the quotient topology. Clearly $SP^\infty(X)$ satisfies the properties that we described above.

Dold and Thom proved that the functor

$$\pi_*(SP^\infty(\_)) : fCW_0 \to \mathbb{Z} - Ab$$

from fCW_0, the category of based finite CW-complex to $\mathbb{Z}-Ab$, the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded abelian groups, is a reduced homology theory. Moreover, the functor $\pi_*(SP^\infty(\_))$ satisfies the dimension axiom and therefore, by Eilenberg axioms of homology theory, we have

Theorem 1.1. *(Dold-Thom) There is a natural equivalence between* $\pi_*(SP^\infty(\_))$ *and* $\widetilde{H}_*(\_)$.

Given a reduced homology theory $\mathcal{H}_*$ we can associate (following [Adams(1995)]) another cohomology theory h_* such that:

(1) There is a natural transformation

$$c : h_* \to \mathcal{H}_*$$

such that this transformation is an isomorphism when we evaluate in $S^0 = \{0, 1\}$ in positive indexes.
(2) h_* does not have negative indices.

h_* is called the *connective* homology theory associated to $\mathcal{H}_*$ and h_* is uniquely determined by these conditions.

Segal in [Segal(1977)] found a version of Dold-Thom theorem in the context of K-homology. We summarize it, he construct a functor $\mathfrak{C}(\_)$ in analogy with $SP^\infty(\_)$ for connective K-homology.

Definition 1.2. *Given a connected finite CW-complex consider the space*

$$\mathfrak{C}(X) := \varinjlim_n Hom^*(C_0(X), Mat_{n\times n}(\mathbb{C})),$$

with the weak topology, when the colimit is taken by the inclusions

$$Mat_{n\times n}(\mathbb{C}) \to Mat_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and $C_0(X)$ *is the space of complex-valued continuous functions on* X *that vanish in a base point* x_0*, and* $*$ *refers to* $*$*-homomorphism.*

This space has a geometric description that allows to think of it as a configuration space, the elements in $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ can be viewed as finite subsets of X, where each element in the finite subset is labeled by a mutually orthogonal nonzero finite dimensional vector space (subset of $\mathbb{C}^\infty$), and the topology has the following properties.

1. If two points converge to same points, the label in the limit will be the limit of the direct sum of the labels of the initial points.
2. If a sequence converges to x_0, then the labels converge to 0.

Segal obtain a Dold-Thom-Theorem for connective K-homology in the following way:

Theorem 1.3. [Segal(1977)] *Given* X *a based finite CW-complex, there is a natural application*

$$\pi_i(\mathfrak{C}(X)) \to \widetilde{K}_i(X)(i \geq 0)$$

such that

1. *This application is an isomorphism when* $X = S^1$*.*
2. $\pi_*(\mathfrak{F}(\_))$ *is a reduced homology theory in finite CW-complexes and the application is a natural transformation between reduced homology theories.*

Here $\widetilde{K}$ *is the reduced K-homology.*

Now, let us describe the other topic of the paper. Segal in [Segal(1996)] partially motivated by [Grojnowski(1996)] studied the graded algebra

$$\mathfrak{F}^q(X) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} q^n K_{S_n}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

with a product defined using the induction functor from $(S_n \times S_m)$-(equivariant) vector bundles to S_{n+m}-vector bundles. Segal found a relation between the graded algebra $\mathfrak{F}^q(X)$ and the configuration space $\mathfrak{C}(X)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Given* X *a even dimensional* $Spin^c$*-manifold. There is a natural isomorphism of graded Hopf algebras*

$$H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X); \mathbb{C}) \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{F}^q(X)},$$

where the completion is taken over the augmentation ideal.

Here $H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X);\mathbb{C})$ is endowed with a graded algebra structure induced by the Hopf-space structure in $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ given by 'putting together' the configurations (this product is called the Pontryagin product).

The main goal of this paper is to obtain equivariant versions of theorem 1.3 and 1.4. In the first part we recall the definitions of equivariant homology theories following [Lück(2002)] and we include some others preliminaries that will be use for later section.

In the second part we define the configurations space associated to equivariant K-homology and prove that the functor defined as its homotopy groups satisfies the long exact sequence axiom and wedge axiom. In this part we also define a natural transformation from the homotopy groups of the configuration space to equivariant k-homology and we prove that this natural transformation is an isomorphism when we apply it to the space S^1 with the trivial action.

In the third part we prove that the functor defined in part two has a induction structure in finite groups in the sense defined by Lück in [Lück(2002)], we use this fact to prove that the functor is an equivariant homology theory and finally deduce that it coincides with equivariant connective k-homology.

In the last section we describe a relation between the homology of the fixed point space of the configuration space in terms of the equivariant generalization of the Hopf-algebra $\mathfrak{F}^q(X)$.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express its gratitude to Bernardo Uribe who read the manuscript and made a number of important suggestions, and to Prof. Wolfgang Lück by the invitation to be in his topology group in Münster where part of this work was done.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Classifying space fo equivariant K-theory.**

All results in this subsection is taken from [Lewis et al.(1986)Lewis, May, Steinberger, and McClure]. All topological spaces considered in this paper are compactly generated.

Given a compact Lie group G the Peter-Weyl theorem ([Peter and Weyl(1927)]) implies that for $\mathbb{H}$ a separable complex Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}_G := L^2(G)\otimes\mathbb{H}$ is a complete G-universe i.e. a complex vector space that contains (up to isomorphism) every irreducible finite dimensional representation of G infinitely many times.

For the complete G-universe $\mathbb{H}_G$, let $BU(n,\mathbb{H}_G)$ be the G-space of n-dimensional vector subspaces of U, the group G acts continuously in $BU(n,\mathbb{H}_G)$ and let $EU(n,\mathbb{H}_G)$ be the G-space whose points are pairs consisting of an element π in $BU(n,\mathbb{H}_G)$ and a vector $v\in\pi$. The map $EU(n,\mathbb{H}_G)\to BU(n,\mathbb{H}_G)$ such that $(\pi,v)\mapsto\pi$ is a universal complex n-dimensional G-bundle. For each finite dimensional G-vector subspace $V\subset\mathbb{H}_G$ and each integer $q>0$, we may take a classifying space

$$BU(q,V\oplus\mathbb{H}_G)$$

for q-dimensional bundles. For $V \subset W$, we have an inclusion

$$BU(q, V \oplus \mathbb{H}_G) \to BU(q + dim(W - V), W \oplus \mathbb{H}_G)$$

that sends the vector subspace A to the subspace $A \oplus (W - V)$. Define

$$BU_G(V) = \varinjlim_{q \geq 0} BU(q, V \oplus \mathbb{H}_G).$$

We take the plane $V \oplus 0$ in $BU(dim(V), V \oplus \mathbb{H}_G)$ as the canonical G-fixed basepoint of $BU_G(V)$. For $V \subset W$, we then have an inclusion $BU_G(V)$ in $BU_G(W)$ of based G-spaces. Define BU_G to be the colimit of the $BU_G(V)$. For finite based G-CW complexes X, the definition of $K_G(X)$ as a Grothendieck group and the classification theorem for complex G-vector bundles lead to an isomorphism (see [Lashof et al.(1983)Lashof, May, and Segal])

$$[X, BU_G]^G \cong \widetilde{K}_G(X).$$

2.2. **Finite rank operators space.** Let X and Y be left G-spaces. There is a (left) G-action on the set of $Map(X, Y)$ of mappings from X to Y defined by $(g, f) \mapsto (x \mapsto g(f(g^{-1}x)))$.

If $Map(X, Y)$ carries the compact open topology and X is locally compact, then this action $G \times Map(X, Y) \to Map(X, Y)$ is continuous. Notice that the fixed point set $Map(X, Y)^G$ consist of the G-equivariant maps from X to Y.

We denote the C*-algebra (for a definition of C*-algebra see [Murphy(1990)]) $FR_n(\mathbb{H}_G) := \{A \in End(\mathbb{H}_G) \mid rank(A) \leq n\}$ with the compact open topology (in this case this topology coincides with the weak topology because the finite rank condition). We have an inclusion $FR_n(\mathbb{H}_G) \to FR_{n+1}(\mathbb{H}_G)$ and we denote the colimit by $FR(\mathbb{H}_G)$. Because the above statement G acts continuously on $FR(\mathbb{H}_G)$.

2.3. **Equivariant connective homology theories.** We introduce G-homology theories and equivariant homology theories. First we follow [Bredon(1967)].

Definition 2.1. *A reduced G-homology theory $\mathcal{H}^G_*$ with values in R-modules is a collection of covariant functors H^G_n from the category of based G-CW-pairs to the category of R-modules, indexed by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, together with natural transformations*

$$\partial^G_n : \mathcal{H}^G_n(X, A) \to \mathcal{H}^G_{n-1}(A) := \mathcal{H}^G_{n-1}(A, x_0)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that the following axioms are satisfied:

(1) ***G-homotopy invariance.***
If f_0 and f_1 are G-homotopic maps $(X, A) \to (Y, B)$ of G-CW-pairs, then

$$\mathcal{H}^G_n(f_0) = \mathcal{H}^G_n(f_1) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) ***Long exact sequence of a pair.***
Given a pair (X, A) of G-CW-complexes, there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}^G_{n+1}(j)} \mathcal{H}^G_{n+1}(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial^G_{n+1}} \mathcal{H}^G_n(A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}^G_n(i)} \mathcal{H}^G_n(X) \xrightarrow{H^G_n(j)} \mathcal{H}^G_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial^G_n} \cdots,$$

where $i : A \to X$ and $j : X \to (X, A)$ are the inclusions.

(3) ***Wedge sum axiom***
Let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of G-CW-complexes. Denote by $j_i : X_i \to \bigvee_{i\in I} X_i$ the canonical inclusion. Then the map

$$\bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathcal{H}^G_n(j_i) : \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathcal{H}^G_n(X_i) \to \mathcal{H}^G_n(\bigvee_{i\in I} X_i)$$

is bijective.

Now we will define reduced *equivariant homology theories* following [Lück(2002)].

Definition 2.2. *Let $\alpha : H \to G$ be a group homomorphism. Given an H-space X (that means a space with an action of a group H), define the induction of X with α to be the G-space $ind_\alpha X$ (we also denote by $G \times_\alpha X$) which is the quotient of $G \times X$ by the right H-action $(g, x)\cdot h := (g\alpha(h), h^{-1}x)$ for $h \in H$ and $(g, x) \in G \times X$. If $\alpha : H \to G$ is an inclusion, we also write ind^H_G instead of ind_α.*

An equivariant homology theory $\mathcal{H}^?_*$ with values in R-modules consists of a G-homology theory $\mathcal{H}^G_*$ with values in R-modules for each group G together with the following so called induction structure: given a group homomorphism $\alpha : H \to G$ and an H-CW-pair (X, A) such that $ker(\alpha)$ acts freely on X, there are for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ natural isomorphisms

$$ind_\alpha : \mathcal{H}^H_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}^G_n(ind_\alpha(X, A))$$

satisfying:

(1) ***Compatibility with the boundary homomorphisms.***

$$\partial^G_n \circ ind_\alpha = ind_\alpha \circ \partial^H_n.$$

(2) ***Functoriality.*** *Let $\beta : G \to K$ be another group homomorphism such that $ker(\beta \circ \alpha)$ acts freely on X. Then we have for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$ind_{\beta\circ\alpha} = \mathcal{H}_n K(f_1) \circ ind_\beta \circ ind_\alpha \mathcal{H}^H_n(X, A) \to \mathcal{H}^K_n(ind_{\beta\circ\alpha}(X, A)),$$

where $f_1 : ind_\beta(ind_\alpha(X, A)) \xrightarrow{\cong} ind_{\beta\circ\alpha}(X, A)$, $(k, g, x) \mapsto (k\beta(g), x)$ is the natural K-homeomorphism.

(3) ***Compatibility with conjugation.***
For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g \in G$ and a G-CW-pair (X, A) the homomorphism $ind_{c(g):G\to G} : \mathcal{H}^G_n(X, A) \to \mathcal{H}^G_n(ind_{c(g):G\to G}(X, A))$ agrees with $\mathcal{H}^G_n(f_2)$ for the G-homeomorphism $f_2 : (X, A) \to ind_{c(g):G\to G}(X, A)$ which sends x to $(1, g^{-1}x)$ in $G \times_{c(g)} (X, A)$.

Then it is natural to associate, in analogy with [Adams(1995), Pg. 205], to a (reduced) G-homology theory a (reduced) *connective* G-homology theory in the following way.

Given a reduced G-homology theory $\mathcal{H}_*^G$ we can associate another reduced G-homology theory h_*^G such that:

1. There is a natural transformation $c : h \to \mathcal{H}$ such that c is an isomorphism when we evaluate in the homogeneous spaces G/H in positive indexes.
2. h_*^G does not have negative indices.

h_*^G is called the G-*connective* homology theory associated to $\mathcal{H}_*^G$. The functor h_*^G is uniquely determined by these conditions.

2.4. **Orientability in K-theory.**

Definition 2.3. *Denote by* $\mathbb{C}liff(n)$ *the* $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$*-graded complex* $*$*-algebra generated by skew-adjoint degree-one elements* $e_1, \dots, e_n$ *such that*

$$e_ie_j + e_je_i = -2\delta_{ij}I.$$

We shall consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ *as embedded into* $\mathbb{C}liff(n)$ *in such a way that the standard basis of* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *is carried to* $e_1, \dots, e_n$*.*

Denote by $\mathrm{Spin}^c(n)$ the group of all even-grading-degree unitary elements in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{liff}(n)$ that map $\mathbb{R}^n$ to itself under the adjoint action. This is a compact Lie group. The image of the group homomorphism

$$\alpha : \mathrm{Spin}^c(n) \to GL(n, \mathbb{R})$$

given by the adjoint action is $SO(n)$ and the kernel is the circle group $U(1)$ of all unitary in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{liff}(n)$ that are multiples of the identity element.

There is a natural complex conjugation operation on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{liff}(n)$ and the map $u \mapsto u\bar{u}^*$ is a homomorphism from $\mathrm{Spin}^c(n)$ onto $U(1)$. The combined homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Spin}^c(n) \to SO(n) \times U(1)$$

is a double covering.

Definition 2.4. *A principal* (G, π)*-bundle* $X \to X/\pi$ *is a principal* π*-bundle and a* G*-map such that* G *acts on* X *through* π*-bundle maps. For a* π*-space* F*,* $\xi : X \times_\pi F \to X/\pi$ *is the associated* (G, π)*-bundle with fiber* F*.*

Definition 2.5. *Let* M *be a smooth* G*-manifold and let* V *be a smooth, real* G*-vector bundle over* M *of rank* n*. A* G*-*Spin^c*-structure is a homotopy class of commuting diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d] & P \arrow[d] \\
M \arrow[r, "id"] & M
\end{tikzcd}$$

of smooth G-manifolds, where P is the bundle of ordered bases for the fibers of V, Q is a G-equivariant principal Spin$^c(n)$-bundle, and

$$\varphi(qu) = \varphi(q)\alpha(u)$$

for every $q \in Q$ and every $u \in$ Spin$^c(n)$.

Every G-complex vector bundle carries a natural Spin^c-structure because there is lifting $U(k) \to \mathrm{Spin}^c(2k)$of the map $U(k) \to SO(k) \times U(1)$.

A *G-Spin^c-vector bundle* is a smooth real G-vector bundle with a given G-Spin^c-structure. The direct sum of two G-Spin^c-vector bundles carries a natural G-Spin^c-structure. It is obtained from the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Spin}^c(m) \times \mathrm{Spin}^c(n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Spin}^c(m+n) \arrow[d] \\
GL(n,\mathbb{R}) \times GL(m,\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r] & GL(m+n,\mathbb{R})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 2.6. *If M is a smooth G-manifold, then a G-Spin^c-structure on M is a G-Spin^c-structure on its tangent bundle.*

Definition 2.7. *If M has a G-Spin^c-structure, one can associate a Thom class $[\mu_M]$ to its tangent bundle, $\mu_M = \bigoplus_i (-1)^i \Lambda^i(TM)$*

Theorem 2.8. *(*[Atiyah et al.(1964)Atiyah, Bott, and Shapiro]*)If M is an n-dimensional Spin^c-manifold the Thom class is a K_G-orientation.*

Combining the above fact and Poincaré duality in the form of [May(1996), Thm.XVI.9.2] we have the following

Theorem 2.9. *Given M an n-dimensional G-Spin^c-manifold there exist an isomorphism*

$$D : K_G^*(M_+) \to K^G_{n-*}(M)$$

2.5. **Hopf spaces and Hopf algebras.** In this part we follow [Whitehead(1978)] and [Milnor and Moore(1965)].

Definition 2.10. *A Hopf space (or simply H-space) is a pointed space (K, k_0) with a multiplication map $\mu : K \times K \to K$ such that k_0 is a homotopy identity, that is, the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r, "(k_0{,}1)"] \arrow[dr, "1"'] & K \times K \arrow[d, "\mu"] & K \arrow[l, "(1{,}k_0)"'] \arrow[dl, "1"] \\
& K &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes up to homotopy: $\mu\circ(1,k_0)\simeq 1\simeq\mu\circ(k_0,1)$. *We say* μ *is homotopy associative if the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K\times K\times K \arrow[r, "\mu\times 1"] \arrow[d, "1\times\mu"] & K\times K \arrow[d, "\mu"] \\
K\times K \arrow[r, "\mu"] & K
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes up to homotopy $\mu\circ(\mu\times 1)\simeq\mu\circ(1\times\mu)$

The diagonal map $\Delta : K\to K\times K$ and the product $\mu : X\times X\to X$ may be considered to induce homomorphisms

$$\Delta_* : H_*(X;\mathbb{C})\to H_*(X;\mathbb{C})\otimes H_*(X;\mathbb{C}),$$
$$\mu_* : H_*(X;\mathbb{C})\otimes H_*(X;\mathbb{C})\to H_*(X;\mathbb{C}).$$

Theorem 2.11. *(*[Whitehead(1978), Thm. III.8.4]*) Under the homomorphism* μ_*, Δ_*, *the graded module* $H_*(X;\mathbb{C})$ *is a graded Hopf algebra over* $\mathbb{C}$.

2.5.1. *The Samelson product.* Given K a homotopy associative Hopf space, we choose an $\alpha\in\pi_n(K)$ and a $\beta\in\pi_m(K)$. These determine elements $p_n^*(\alpha)$, $p_m^*(\beta)\in[S^n\times S^m,K]_0$, where $p_n : S^n\times S^m\to S^n$ and $p_m : S^n\times S^m\to S^m$ are the projections. As $[S^n\times S^m,K]_0$ is a group consider the commutator

$$[p_n^*(\alpha),p_m^*(\beta)]=(p_n^*(\beta)\cdot p_m^*(\alpha)^{-1}\cdot(p_n^*(\alpha)\cdot p_m^*(\beta))\in[S^n\times S^m,K]_0.$$

If $j : S^n\vee S^m\to S^n\times S^m$ denotes the inclusion, we have the following

Fact 2.12.

$$j^*([p_n^*(\alpha),p_m^*(\beta)])=e,$$

where e *denotes the unit in* $\pi_{n+m}(K)$.

Since $S^n\vee S^m$ is a subcomplex of $S^n\times S^m$, there exists an exact sequence

$$\pi_{n+m}(K)\xrightarrow{q^*}[S^n\times S^m,K]_0\xrightarrow{j^*}[S^n\vee S^m,K]_0,$$

where $q : S^n\times S^m\to S^n\wedge S^m$ is the quotient map. Hence there exists an element , written $\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\in\pi_{n+m}(K)$ such that

$$q^*(\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle)=[p_n^*(\alpha),p_m^*(\beta)].$$

Fact 2.13. q^* *is one-to-one.*

Definition 2.14. *The Samelson product of* $\alpha\in\pi_n(K)$ *and* $\beta\in\pi_m(K)$ *is the element* $\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\in\pi_{n+m}(K)$ *uniquely defined by*

$$q^*(\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle)=[p_n^*(\alpha),p_m^*(\beta)].$$

Theorem 2.15. *(*[Whitehead(1978), Thm.X.5.1, Thm.X.5.4 and Thm. X.6.3]*) The map* $(\alpha,\beta)\mapsto\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$ *is bilinear, and so defines a pairing* $\pi_n(K)\otimes\pi_m(K)\to\pi_{n+m}(K)$. *Moreover*

1. $\langle\beta,\alpha\rangle = (-1)^{nm+1}\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$,
2. *If* $\gamma \in \pi_l(K)$*, then*
$$(-1)^{nl}\langle\alpha,\langle\beta,\gamma\rangle\rangle + (-1)^{nm}\langle\beta,\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle\rangle + (-1)^{ml}\langle\gamma,\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\rangle = 0,$$
3. *If* $\lambda_n : \pi_n(K) \to H_n(K)$ *is the Hurewicz morphism, then*
$$\lambda_{n+m}(\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle)\lambda_n(\alpha)\cdot\lambda_m(\beta) - (-1)^{nm}\lambda_m(\beta)\cdot\lambda_n(\alpha).$$

Consequently, the graded complex vector space $\pi_*(K;\mathbb{C})$ *such that* $\pi_n(K;\mathbb{C}) = \pi_n(K)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ *becomes a graded Lie algebra over* $\mathbb{C}$*, and the induced morphism* $\lambda : \pi_*(K;\mathbb{C}) \to H_*(K;\mathbb{C})$ *is a morphism of graded Lie algebras.*

We finish with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.16. *(*[Milnor and Moore(1965), Thm. of the Appendix]*) If* K *is a pathwise connected homotopy associative H-space with unit, and* $\lambda : \pi_*(K;\mathbb{C}) \to H_*(K;\mathbb{C})$ *is the Hurewicz morphism viewed as a morphism of graded Lie algebras, then the induced morphism* $\bar{\lambda} : \widehat{\mathcal{S}}(\pi(K;\mathbb{C})) \to H_*(K;\mathbb{C})$ *is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. Where* $\mathcal{S}(A)$ *denotes the (*$\mathbb{Z}_2$*-graded) supersymmetric algebra associated to the Lie algebra* A*, this supersymmetric algebra here is equal to the tensor product of the symmetric algebra* $S(A)$ *and the exterior algebra* $\Lambda(A)$ *.*

Here $\widehat{(\_)}$ denotes the completion with respect to the I-adic topology, when I is the augmentation ideal i.e $I = ker(\epsilon)$ where ϵ is the counit of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{S}(\pi_*(K;\mathbb{C}))$. (For an explanation on completions see [Atiyah and Macdonald(1969), Chapter 10].)

3. EQUIVARIANT CONNECTIVE K-HOMOLOGY AND A CONFIGURATION SPACE

Let us recall ([Segal(1977)]) that in the non-equivariant case to describe the connective K-homology for a finite CW-complex X we consider the configuration space of finite subsets of X where each point is labeled by an element of BU, the infinite grassmanian of $\mathbb{C}^\infty$. Then it would be natural to describe the equivariant K-homology of a G-CW-complex X as the configuration space of points in X when the labels are elements of BU_G with the appropriate equivariant conditions. This section is devoted to prove the previous statement.

Definition 3.1. *Given a compact Lie group* G *and* X *a based* G*-connected* G*-CW-complex. Let* $\mathfrak{C}(X;G)$ *be the* G*-space of configurations of complex vector spaces (or simply the configuration space when the context is clear).*

$$\mathfrak{C}(X,G) = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} Hom^*(C_0(X), FR_n(\mathbb{H}_G)),$$

*with the compact open topology, Notice that * refers to *-homomorphism.*

Remark 3.2. Although $\mathfrak{C}(X;G)$ is a space of operators in analogy with [Segal(1977)] we can describe it as the G-space of finite subsets of X, where each element is labeled by a mutually orthogonal finite dimensional subspace in $Gr(\mathbb{H}_G)$. This is the reason to call $\mathfrak{C}(X;G)$ a configuration space.

□

We endow to $\mathfrak{C}(X,G)$ with a G action in the following way:
If $F \in \mathfrak{C}_G(X)$, we define

$$g \cdot F : C_0(X) \to FR(\mathbb{H}_G)$$

$$f \mapsto g \cdot F(g^{-1} \cdot f).$$

As in section 1.1 this action is also continuous.

Remark 3.3. We can describe the action in the geometric model in the following way, for $F \in \mathfrak{C}(X,G)$ such that F has eigenvalues given by functions which consist in evaluations $x_1 : C_0(X) \to \mathbb{C}, \dots, x_n : C_0(X) \to \mathbb{C}$, with eigenspaces $V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_n}$ such that if $v \in V_{x_i}$ and $f \in C_0(X)$ then $F(f)(v) = f(x_i)v$, we have that

$$(g \cdot F)(f)(gv) = g[(F(g^{-1}f))(g^{-1}gv)] = g[(f(gx_i))(v)] = (f(gx_i))(gv)$$

where the last equality follows because $f(gx_i)$ is a scalar. This means that $g \cdot F$ has eigenvalues given by evaluations $gx_1, \dots .gx_n$, with eigenspaces $gV_{x_1}, \dots, gV_{x_n}$.

This is allow us to conclude that the previous geometric description of the configuration space of finite subsets, when each element of the set is labeled by a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{H}_G$, may be endowed with a G-action in the following way:

$$g \cdot \{(x_1, V_{x_1}), \dots, (x_n, V_{x_n})\} := \{(gx_1, gV_{x_1}), \dots, (gx_n, gV_{x_n})\}$$

□

Consider the category of pairs (X, A) where X is a based G-CW-complex and A a closed G-ANR, which means that there exists a G-open set $U \supseteq A$ such that U is a weak G- deformation retract of A. This category is equivalent to the category of the pairs with homotopy type of G-CW-pairs ([Murayama(1983)]); we denote this category by $CW_G^{(2)}$. We define a family of covariant functors from $CW_G^{(2)}$ to the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded abelian groups

$$\widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^G(\_,\_) : CW_G^{(2)} \to \mathbb{Z} - \textit{graded abelian groups}$$

$$\widetilde{\underline{k}}_n^G(X, A) := \pi_n^G(\mathfrak{C}(X/A; G)).$$

Theorem 3.4. *The functor* $\widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^?$ *is a reduced equivariant homology theory.*

Proof. (1) **Homotopy axiom**

The functor $\mathfrak{C}(\_, G)$ sends G-homotopic spaces to G-homotopic spaces, by the following simple argument. Let $f_i : X \to Y$ $(i = 0, 1)$, G-homotopy maps, then $f_{i*} : \mathfrak{C}(X, G) \to \mathfrak{C}(Y, G)$ are G-homotopy maps (because the topology is the compact-open topology). Hence the functor $\widetilde{\underline{k}}_n^G$ is G-homotopy invariant.

(2) **Long exact sequence axiom**

To prove the long exact sequence axiom for $\widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^G$ we will show that

$$p_* : \mathfrak{C}(X;G) \to \mathfrak{C}(X/A;G)$$

is a G-quasifibrations. Le us recall the definition of G-quasifibration.

Definition 3.5. [Waner(1980)] *A map $p : E \to B$ in $G - CW_0$ is a G-quasifibration if for every $b \in B$ and $H \subseteq G_b = \{g \in G \mid gb = b\}$.*

$$p_*^H : (E, p^{-1}(b))^H \to (B, b)^H$$

is a quasifibration.

For $(X,A) \in CW_G^{(2)}$ we have an inclusion $\mathfrak{C}(A,G) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}(X,G)$, and a canonical projection $p_* : \mathfrak{C}(X,G) \to \mathfrak{C}(X/A,G)$. The C^*-algebra $C_0(X/A)$ can be identified with

$$C_0(X,A) = \{f : X \to \mathbb{C} \mid f|_A = 0\}.$$

We can describe p_* using this identification, for $f \in C_0(X/A)$, note that $p_*(F)(f) = F(\varphi(f))$ where $\varphi : C_0(X/A) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(X,A)$.

For (X,A) a G-ANR pair, let N be a G-neighborhood of A in X, such that N is a G-deformation retract of A; we denote the G-retraction by $r : N \to A$. The map r induces a G-application $r^* : C_0(A) \to C_0(X)$.

The pair of G-open sets $\{N, X \setminus A\}$ is a G-open covering of X, then there is an G-equivariant partition of unity $\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ (It can be obtained simply taking the non-equivariant partition of unity and averaging by G) associated to this covering such that $supp(\rho_1) \subseteq N$ and $supp(\rho_2) \subseteq X \setminus A$. Using this partition of unity we can define a G-map

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(X) &\to C_0(A) \times C_0(X,A) \\ f &\mapsto (f \mid_A, f.\rho_2) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6. *For every $b \in \mathfrak{C}(X/A,G)$ there exists a map*

$$\mu_b : p_*^{-1}(b) \to \mathfrak{C}(A,G)$$

which is a G_b-homotopy equivalence.

Proof. If $F \in p^{-1}(b)$ where $F : C_0(X) \to FR(\mathbb{H}_G)$ then

$$F \mid_{C_0(X,A)} = b \circ \varphi^{-1}$$

we define

$$\mu_b : p^{-1}(b) \to \mathfrak{C}(A,G)$$

for $f \in C_0(A)$, $\mu_b(F)(f) := F(\rho_1.r^*(f))$.

We define a homotopy inverse of μ_b. Let

$$\gamma_b : \mathfrak{C}(A, G) \to p^{-1}(b)$$

for $f \in C_0(X)$ and $F \in \mathfrak{C}(A, G)$, we define

$$\gamma_b(F)(f) := F(f\mid_A) + b(\varphi^{-1}(f.\rho_2)).$$

The composition $\mu_b \circ \gamma_b : \mathfrak{C}(A, G) \to \mathfrak{C}(A, G)$ is G_b-homotopic to the identity because for $F \in \mathfrak{C}(A, G)$ and $f \in C_0(A)$ we have

$$(\mu_b \circ \gamma_b)(F)(f) = \gamma_b(F)(\rho_1.r^*(f)) = F((\rho_1.r^*(f))\mid_A) + b(\phi^{-1}(\rho_2\rho_1 r^*(f)))$$

The last expression is equal to $F(f) + b(\varphi^{-1}(\rho_2\rho_1 r^*(f)))$, and choosing a G_b-equivariant path between b and 0 we can define a deformation H_t from the last expression to the identity. Let γ_t be a path that connect b and 0, we define

$$H_t : \mathfrak{C}(A, G) \to \mathfrak{C}(A, G)$$

$$H_t(F)(f) = F(f) + \gamma_t(\varphi^{-1}(\rho_2\rho_1 r^*(f))$$

H_t is the desired homotopy

The composition $\gamma_b \circ \mu_b : p_*^{-1}(b) \to p_*^{-1}(b)$ is G_b-homotopic to the identity because for $F \in p_*^{-1}(b)$ and $f \in C_0(X)$ we have

$$\gamma_b \circ \mu_b(F)(f) = \mu_b(F)(f\mid_A) + b(\rho_2 f) = F(\rho_1 r^*(f\mid_A)) + b(\phi^{-1}(f\rho_2))$$

but $\rho_2 f \in C_0(X, A)$ then we have the equality

$$\gamma_b \circ \mu_b(F)(f) = F(\rho_1 r^*(f\mid_A) + f\rho_2),$$

but $\rho_1 r^*(f\mid_A) + f\rho_2$ can be continuously deformed (in $C_0(X)$) to $\rho_1 f + \rho_2 f = f$ by a linear homotopy. Note that ρ_1, ρ_2, r^* and φ^{-1} are G_b- equivariant maps this allows to conclude that μ_b is a G_b-homotopy equivalence ☐

To obtain the long exact sequence axiom it is enough to prove that $p_* : \mathfrak{C}(X, G) \to \mathfrak{C}(X/A, G)$ is a G-quasifibration.

Theorem 3.7. *The map*

$$p_* : \mathfrak{C}(X, G) \to \mathfrak{C}(X/A, G)$$

is a G-quasifibration.

Proof. For this proof we need to recall the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. ([Waner(1980)]*) A map $p : E \to B$ is a G-quasifibration if any one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(a) *B can be decomposed as the union of G-open sets V_1 and V_2 such that each of the restrictions $p^{-1}(V_1) \to V_1$, $p^{-1}(V_2) \to V_2$, and $p^{-1}(V_1 \cap V_2) \to V_1 \cap V_2$ is a G-quasifibration.*

(b) *B is the union of an increasing sequence of G-subspaces $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq \cdots$ with the property that each Gcompact set in B lies in some B_n , and such that each restriction $p^{-1}(B_n) \to B_n$ is a quasifibration.*

(c) *There is a G-deformation Γ_t of E into a G-subspace E_0, covering a deformation $\bar{\Gamma}_t$ of B into a G-subspace B_0, such that the restriction $E_0 \to B_0$ is a G-quasifibration and $\Gamma_1 : p^{-1}(b) \to p^{-1}(\bar{\Gamma}_1(b))$ is a G_b-weak homotopy equivalence for each $b \in B$.*

Let us filter $\mathfrak{C}(X/A, G)$ by G-closed spaces in the following way

$$\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G) = \{F \in \mathfrak{C}(X/A, G) \mid rank(F) \leq n\}.$$

We want to prove in the following lines that $p\mid_{p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A,G))}$ is a quasifibration, we proceed by induction.

Lemma 3.9. *The restriction map $p \mid p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G))$ is a quasifibration.*

Proof. $n = 0$ is trivial, because it is a map from a point to a point. Now suppose that $p \mid p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G))$ is a quasifibration, we will prove that $p \mid p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A, G))$ is a quasifibration in two steps.

Step 1: Let us show that we can find a G-open set U that cover $\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G)$ and such that U is (weak) deformation retract of $\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G)$ and $p \mid p^{-1}(U)$ is a quasifibration. The existence of U will be proved in the following argument

Recall that there is has a G-neighborhood $N \subseteq X$ of A such that N is deformation retract of A.

Let $r_t : X \to X$, ($t \in [0, 1]$), such that $r_1(N) = A$, $r_t(a) = a$ for every $a \in A$ and $r_0 = id_X$. Consider

$$(r_1)_* : \mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A, G) \to \mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A, G),$$

$(r_1)_*^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G))$ is a closed subset of $\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A, G)$. We can suppose that there is an open set W in $\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A, G)$ such that

$$(r_1^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G)) \supseteq W \supseteq \mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G)$$

and W deforms in $\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G)$ (using the cylinder of the inclusion if it is necessary). Let $U = p^{-1}(W)$, r_t induces a homotopy

$$\bar{r}_{t*} : p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A, G)) \to p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A, G)),$$

whose restriction to U is a deformation of U to $p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A, G))$, the homotopy $\bar{r}_{t*}$ covers r_{t*}. To conclude that $p : U \to p(U)$ is a quasifibration it is enough to prove that $\bar{r}_{1*} : p^{-1}(b) \to p^{-1}(\bar{r}_{1*}(b))$ is a weak G_b-homotopy equivalence, for this we will use the part (c) of lemma 3.8. The proof of $\bar{r}_{1*}$ is a G_b-homotopy equivalence is completely analogue to the proof of lemma 3.6.

Step 2: To prove that $p\mid_{p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G)\setminus\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A,G))}$ and $p\mid_{p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G)\setminus\mathfrak{C}^n(X/A,G))\cap p^{-1}(U)}$ are quasifibrations.

We want to define a section

$$s : \mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G) \to p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)).$$

As in [Segal(1977)] every $F \in \mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)$ can be characterized by its eigenvalues given by evaluations maps $x_i : C_0(X/A) \to \mathbb{C}$, $(i = 1, \dots, n+1, x_i \neq x_0)$ and its eigenspaces $V_1, \dots, V_{n+1}$. There exists $t_0 < 1$ such that for every $t < 1$ with $t \geq t_0$, we have that for every $f \in C_0(X)$

$$F((\rho_1 \circ r_s).f) = F((\rho_1 \circ r_t).f).$$

Using the above statement we define

$$s(F)(f) := F((\rho_1 \circ r_t).f)$$

and since $s(F)$ is defined by multiplication by continuous maps, then $s(F)$ is continuous. To see that s is continuous consider a sequence of elements F_k in $\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)$ that converges to $F \in \mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)$. F_k has eigenvalues $x_{i,k}$, each sequence $(x_{i,k})_k$ cannot converge to x_0 because this implies that $F \in \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)$, and therefore there is a $t \in [0,1)$ such that for every k and for $f \in C_0(X)$

$$s(F_k)(f) = F_k((\rho_1 \circ r_s).f) \text{ and } s(F)(f) = F((\rho_1 \circ r_s).f),$$

where s applied to this sequence is obtained multiplying by a continuous function, and hence $s(F_k) \to F$, i.e s is continuous.

We have that $p \circ i = id_{\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G)\setminus\mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)}$, then

$$p_* : \pi_i^{G_b}(p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G)\setminus\mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)), p^{-1}(b)) \to \pi_i^{G_b}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G)\setminus\mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G), b)$$

is surjective. To see that p_* is injective, let

$$g : (D^i, \partial D^i) \to p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G))$$

be a representative element of $ker(p_*)$ then $p \circ g \simeq_{G_b} b$

Let $\gamma_t : (D^i, \partial D^i) \times I \to \mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)$ be such that $\gamma_0 = p \circ g$ and $\gamma_1 = b$. We define

$$\widetilde{\gamma} : (D^i, \partial D^i) \times I \to (p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)), p^{-1}(b))$$

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(a,t)(f) := \gamma(a,t)(\rho_1.f) + g(a)(\rho_2.f).$$

It is a homotopy that starts in g and ends in an element of $p^{-1}(b)$ then $[g] = 0$ in $\pi_i^{G_b}(p^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}(X/A,G) \setminus \mathfrak{C}^{n}(X/A,G)), p^{-1}(b)$, it allows to conclude that the kernel is trivial. □

Using the lemma 3.8(b) together with lemma 3.9 we can conclude that the map

$$p_* : \mathfrak{C}(X,G) \to \mathfrak{C}(X/A,G)$$

is a G-quasifibration. □

(3) **Wedge axiom:**

It is a consequence of the fact that given $F \in Hom^*(\prod_{i\in I} C_0(X_i), FR_n(\mathbb{H}_G))$, and $f = (f_i)_{i\in I} \in \prod_{i\in I} C_0(X_i)$, as the maximum rank of $F(f)$ is n for every f, there exist k such that

$$F((f_i)_{i\in I}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} F(f_j).$$

This is allows to us conclude that

$$\mathfrak{C}(\bigvee_{i\in I} X_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathfrak{C}(X_i)$$

.

□

At the moment we have proved that the functor $\underline{\widetilde{k}}_*^G$ is a G-homology theory for every finite group G.

Now we will define a natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}$ from $\underline{\widetilde{k}}_*^G$ to reduced equivariant K-homology that we denote by $\widetilde{K}_*^G$ such that

$$\underline{\widetilde{k}}_i^G(\mathfrak{C}(G/H)) := [G/H \wedge S^i, \mathfrak{C}(G/H, G)]_G \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{A}(G/H)_n} \widetilde{K}_i^G(G/H) \text{ for } i \geq 0$$

is an isomorphism. Let us start with some preliminaries

The study of representations of a C*-algebra has a strong relation with the study of K-theory, more specifically there exists a bifunctor KK that associates to every pair of C*-algebras (A, B) an abelian group $KK(A, B)$ that can be defined as follows. (Here we follow [Blackadar(1998)]).

Definition 3.10. *A G-C*-algebra is a C*-algebra equipped with an action of G by *-automorphisms. Let A and B be (*$\mathbb{Z}/2$*-) graded G-C*-algebras.* $E_G(A, B)$*, the set of Kasparov G-modules for* (A, B)*, that is the set of triples* (E, ϕ, F)*, where E is a countably generated Hilbert B-module with a continuous action of G,* $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{B}(E)$ *is a graded *- homomorphism, and F is a G-continuous operator in* $\mathfrak{B}(E)$ *of degree 1, such that* $[F, \phi(a)]$, $(F^2 - Id)\phi(a)$, $(F - F^*)\phi(a)$ *and* $(g \cdot F - F)\phi(a)$ *are all in* $\mathfrak{K}(E)$ *for all* $a \in A$ *and* $g \in G$*. The set* $D_G(A, B)$ *of degenerate Kasparov modules is the set of triples in* $E(A, B)$ *for which* $[F, \phi(a)]$, $(F^2 - Id)\phi(a)$, $(F - F^*)\phi(a)$*, and* $(g \cdot F - F)\phi(a)$ *are* 0 *for all* $a \in A$ *and* $g \in G$*.*

Example 3.11. *Let* $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{H}_G)$ *be a graded G-*-homomorphism. Then* $(\mathbb{H}_G, \phi, 0)$ *is a Kasparov G-*$(A, \mathbb{C})$*-module. We could also associate to* ϕ *the Kasparov G-*$(A, \mathbb{C})$*-module* $(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{op}, \phi \oplus 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$*. So there are two canonical ways of associating elements of* $E_G(A, \mathbb{C})$ *to each graded G-*-homomorphism from A to* $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{H}_G)$ *(which will give the same* KK_G*-element when we divide by the equivalence relation).*

Definition 3.12. *A homotopy connecting* (E_0, ϕ_0, F_0) *and* (E_1, ϕ_1, F_1) *is an element* (E, ϕ, F) *of* $E_G(A, IB)$ *for which* $(E\widehat{\otimes}_{f_i}B, f_i \circ \phi, f_{i*}(F)) \approx_u^G (E_i, \phi_i, F_i)$*, where* f_i*, for* $i = 0, 1$*, is the evaluation homomorphism from* $IB (:= C([0,1], B))$ *to* B *(here* $\approx_u^G$ *denotes the G-unitary equivalence). The homotopy respects direct sums. Homotopy equivalence is denoted* $\sim_h$*. If* $E_0 = E_1$*, a standard homotopy is a homotopy of the form* $E = C([0,1], E_0)$ *(which is a Hilbert* IB*-module in the obvious way),* $\phi = (\phi_t)$*,* $F = (F_t)$*, where* $t \to F_t$ *and* $t \to \phi_t(a)$ *are strong G-*-operator continuous for each* a*. A standard homotopy where in addition* ϕ_t *is constant and* F_t *is norm-continuous is called an operator homotopy.*

Direct sums makes $E_G(A, B)$ into an abelian semigroup.

Definition 3.13. $KK_G(A, B)$ *is the set of equivalence classes of* $E_G(A, B)$ *under* $\sim_h$*. More generally, we set* $KK_G^n(A, B) = KK_G(A, B \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathit{liff}(n))$ *where* G *acts trivially in* $\mathbb{C}\mathit{liff}(n)$*. In each case, the set is an abelian semigroup under direct sum.*

The bifunctor KK_G, has a strong relation with K_G-theory and K^G-homology of C*-algebras (for definitions of K-theory and K-homology in this context consult [Higson and Roe(2000)]). In particular we have the following.

Proposition 3.14 ([Blackadar(1998)])**.** *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$K_G^n(A) \cong KK_G^n(\mathbb{C}, A) \text{ and}$$

$$K_n^G(A) \cong KK_G^n(A, \mathbb{C}),$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*.*

Given a G-$*$-homomorphism $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{H}_G)$, one can assign an element in $KK_G(A, \mathbb{C})$ in two different ways (see example 3.11), actually they are the same because when the homomorphism $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{B}(E)$ is compact the operator F is redundant i.e. all triples (E, ϕ, F) are operator homotopic independently of the choice F. Then we have a natural map

$$\mathfrak{A} : \pi_0(\mathfrak{C}(A, G)) \to KK_G^0(A, \mathbb{C}) \cong K_0^G(A)$$

$$[\phi] \mapsto [(\mathbb{H}_G, \phi, 0)].$$

This association is really a natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}$ from $\pi_0(\mathfrak{C}(\_, G))$ to $KK_G^0(\_, \mathbb{C})$. To extend this natural transformation to all $n \geq 0$ we need the following form of the Bott periodicity theorem.

Theorem 3.15. *(*[Blackadar(1998), Corol. 19.2.2]*) For any* A *and* B*, we have*

$$KK_G^1(A, B) \cong KK_G(A, SB) \cong KK_G(SA, B)$$

and $KK_G(A, B) \cong KK_G^1(A, SB) \cong KK_G^1(SA, B) \cong KK_G(S^2A, B) \cong KK_G(A, S^2B) \cong KK_G(SA, SB)$*, where the suspension of* A *is*

$$SA := \{f : S^1 \to A \mid f \text{ is continuous } \}.$$

Given a homotopy class of an equivariant map $f : S^1 \to \mathfrak{C}(A, G)$, this element can be viewed as an element of $\widetilde{f} \in \pi_0(SA)$. We define

$$\mathfrak{A}^1(A) : \pi_1(\mathfrak{C}(A, G)) \to KK^1_G(A, \mathbb{C})$$

by

$$\mathfrak{A}^1(A)(f) := \mathfrak{A}(A)(\widetilde{f}) \in KK_G(SA, \mathbb{C}) \cong KK^1_G(A, \mathbb{C}).$$

For every $n \geq 1$ the transformation $\mathfrak{A}^n(A)$ is defined in an analogue way using theorem 3.15 repeatedly.

The last construction gives a relation between the homotopy groups of the configuration space and the analytic construction of KJK-theory by Kasparov.

Theorem 3.16. *The map*

$$\mathfrak{A}^n(S^1) : \pi_n(\mathfrak{C}(S^1, G)) \to KK^n_G(S^1, \mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism for every $n \geq 0$, where S^1 is endowed with the trivial G-action.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of the non-equivariant case given in [Segal(1977)]. By formal Bott periodicity in KK-theory (see [Blackadar(1998)]) we have an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{K}^G_n(S^1) \cong KK_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{liff}(n-1)).$$

Let $F^G_q(n)$ be the set of sequences $(A_0, \ldots, A_q)$ of commuting normal operators of rank $\leq n$ such that $A_0^2 + \cdots + A_q^2 = 1$. Embed $F^G_q(n)$ in $F^G_q(n+1)$ by $(A_0, \ldots, A_q) \mapsto (A_0 \oplus \pi_{n+1}, \ldots, A_q)$ (where π_{n+1} denotes the projection over the last component), and let $F^G_q = \cup_{n \geq 1} F^G_q(n)$. Then because $\mathbb{C}[t_0, \ldots, t_q]/(t_0^2 + \cdots + t_q^2 - 1)$ is a dense subalgebra of $1 + C_0(S^q)$ there is a homeomorphism $F^G_q \cong \mathfrak{C}(S^q, G)$.

In analogy with [Atiyah and Singer(1969)], from theorem 3.15 we can define representing spaces for K^1_G in the following way. Let $\Phi^G_q(n)$ be the space of G-equivariant unitary normal operators of rank $\leq n$ over $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{liff}(q) \otimes \mathbb{H}_G$.

Fact 3.17. *(*[Atiyah and Singer(1969), Pg. 8]*) $\Phi^G_q = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Phi^G_q(n)$ is a representing space for K^q_G if $q > 0$.*

We have a map from F^G_q to Φ^G_q, if $e_1, \ldots, e_q$ are the generators of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{liff}(q)$ the map is defined as

$$(A_0, \ldots, A_q) \mapsto A_0 + A_1 e_1 + \cdots + A_q e_q.$$

Clearly this map is a homeomorphism, then the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}^n(\_)$ is an isomorphism when we evaluate in S^1. $\square$

4. Induction structure

In this section we prove that the equivariant connective K-homology has an induction structure in the sense of the definition 2.2. Let $\alpha : H \to G$ be a group homomorphism (H and G finite groups). Let X be an H-space such that $ker(\alpha)$ acts freely on X, we have an inclusion

$$i_\alpha : X \to G \times_\alpha X$$

$$x \mapsto [e, x].$$

We start when the map α is an inclusion.

Lemma 4.1. *Given a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and X an H-CW-complex then the inclusion $i : X \to G \times_H X$ induces an isomorphism*

$$i_* : \widetilde{\underline{k}}^{H}_{*}(X) \to \widetilde{\underline{k}}^{G}_{*}(G \times_H X).$$

Proof. $\mathbb{H}_G$ can be considered as a complete H-universe ($\mathbb{H}_G \cong_H \mathbb{H}_H$ and up to homotopy it is independent of the choice of the isomorphism, therefore we have that

$$\mathfrak{C}(X, H)^H = Hom^*(C_0(X), FR(\mathbb{H}_G))^H.$$

We define a map

$$i_* : Hom^*(C_0(X), FR(\mathbb{H}_G))^H \to Hom^*(C_0(G \times_H X), FR(\mathbb{H}_G))^G$$

$$F \mapsto i_*(F)$$

$$i_*(F)(f) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (F(g^{-1} \cdot f \mid_X),$$

where $f \in C_0(G \times_H X)$. On the other hand we define a map

$$\chi : Hom^*(C_0(G \times_H X), FR(\mathbb{H}_G))^G \to Hom^*(C_0(X), FR(\mathbb{H}_G))^H$$

$$F \mapsto F \circ \mu,$$

where $\mu : C_0(X) \to C_0(G \times_H X)$ is continuous and H-equivariant such that $\mu(f) \mid_X = f$ and $\mu(f)|_{G \times_H X \setminus X} = 0$ for every $f \in C_0(X)$. We have that $\chi \circ i_* = id_{\mathfrak{C}(X,H)^H}$, because given $f \in C_0(X)$,

$$\chi(i_*(F))(f) = i_*(F)(\mu(f)) =$$

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (F((g^{-1} \cdot \mu(f))|_X)) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h \cdot F(h^{-1} \cdot f) = F(f).$$

On the other hand $i_* \circ \chi = id_{\mathfrak{C}(G \times_H X, G)^G}$ because given $f \in C_0(G \times_H X)$,

$$i_*(\chi(F))(f) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (\chi(F)((g^{-1} \cdot f)|_X)) =$$

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (F(\mu((g^{-1} \cdot f)|_X))) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} F(g \cdot ((\mu(g^{-1} \cdot f)|_X))) = F(f),$$

where the last equality is a consequence of $f = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot \mu((g^{-1} \cdot f)|_X)$. Taking homotopy groups we obtain the desired result. $\square$

As a consequence of the above lemma we obtain.

Theorem 4.2. *The functor* $\underline{\widetilde{k}}_*^?$ *is naturally equivalent to* $\widetilde{k}_*^?$*; that is, the equivariant homotopy groups of* $\mathfrak{C}(X,G)$ *correspond to the equivariant connective K-homology groups of* X *when* X *is a finite* G*-CW-complex and* G *is finite.*

Proof. We already proved in theorem 3.16 that the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}$ defined in the above section is an isomorphism when $X = S^1$ with a trivial G-action. To prove the theorem it is enough to prove that $\mathfrak{A}$ is an isomorphism when $X = S^1 \wedge G/H$ with trivial G-action over S^1 and the usual G-action over G/H, it is because any G-CW-complex is built with cells $S^k \times G/H$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
k_*^H(S^1) \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{A}(S^1)"] \arrow[d, "i_*"] & K_*^H(S^1) \arrow[d, "i_*"] \\
k_*^G(S^1 \wedge G/H) \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{A}(S^1 \wedge G/H)"] & K_*^G(S^1 \wedge G/H)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $i_* : k_*^H(*) \to k_*^G(G/H)$ is the isomorphism obtained in the above lemma and $i_* : K_*^H(*) \to K_*^G(G/H)$ is the isomorphism obtained from the indicution structure for K-homology (see [Lück(2002)]). From this diagram we can obtain that

$$\mathfrak{A}(S^1 \wedge G/H) : k_*^G(S^1 \wedge G/H) \to K_*^G(S^1 \wedge G/H)$$

is an isomorphism. □

As a corollary of this theorem we see that we have constructed a model for the spectrum of equivariant K-theory for finite groups. More specifically

Theorem 4.3. *The sequence of spaces*

$$K_n^G := \mathfrak{C}(S^n, G)$$

is a spectrum representing equivariant K-theory. It is a generalization of the spectrum described in [Segal(1977)] *or* [Hohnhold et al.(2010)Hohnhold, Stolz, and Teichner].

We can extend the functor $\widetilde{k}_*^G$ to non-connected spaces just defining

$$\underline{\widetilde{k}}_*^G(X) := \pi_{*+1}^G(\mathfrak{C}(\Sigma X, G)).$$

As usual we define the unreduced functor $\underline{k}$ as

$$\underline{k}_*^G(X) := \underline{\widetilde{k}}_*^G(X_+),$$

where $X_+ = X \cup \{+\}$ and the basepoint of X_+ is $+$. To obtain the induction structure when α is an arbitrary map we need a lemma. In the following we call this functor by $\widetilde{k}_*^\bullet$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let X a G-CW-complex such that $N \trianglelefteq G$ acts freely in X then there is natural isomorphism $\pi_* : \widetilde{k}^G_*(X) \to \widetilde{k}^{G/N}_*(X/N)$ induced by $\pi : X \to X/N$.*

Proof. The algebra $C_0(X/N)$ can be identified with $C_0(X)^N$, the algebra of continuous maps from X to $\mathbb{C}$ that is invariant by the action of N, having this identification in mind consider the natural application

$$C_0(X) \xrightarrow{p} C_0(X/N)$$

$$f \mapsto \frac{1}{|N|}\sum_{g \in N} g \cdot f.$$

This allows to define a *-homomorphism

$$Hom^*(C_0(X/N), FR(\mathbb{H}_{G/N}))^{G/N} \xrightarrow{\phi} Hom^*(C_0(X), FR(\mathbb{H}_{G/N})$$

$$A \mapsto A \circ p.$$

On the other hand $\mathbb{H}_{G/N}$ can be identified with $(\mathbb{H}_G)^N$, then we can suppose that $A \circ p \in Hom * (C_0(X), FR((\mathbb{H}_G)^N))$. Let $g \in G$, $\pi : G \to G/N$ be the quotient map and $f \in C_0(X)$, then

$$(g \cdot (A \circ p))(f) = g((A \circ p)(g^{-1} \cdot f)g^{-1} = g(A(\pi(g^{-1}) \cdot (p(f))))g^{-1}$$

$$= \pi(g)(A(\pi(g^{-1}) \cdot (p(f))))\pi(g^{-1}) = A(p(f)),$$

hence $A \circ p \in Hom * (C_0(X), FR((\mathbb{H}_G)^N))^G$. Now consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{H}_G \arrow[r, "i(\circ(A(p(f)))\circ\bar{p}"] \arrow[d, "\bar{p}"] & \mathbb{H}_G \\
(\mathbb{H}_G)^N \arrow[r, "A(p(f)"] & (\mathbb{H}_G)^N \arrow[u, "i"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

It implies that $i \circ (A(p(\_))) \circ \bar{p} \in Hom * (C_0(X), FR(\mathbb{H}_G))^G$. Then we have a natural map

$$\chi : \mathfrak{C}(X/N, G/N)^{G/N} \to \mathfrak{C}(X, G)^G$$

$$A \mapsto i \circ (A \circ p) \circ \bar{p}.$$

We will prove that χ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

The map $X \xrightarrow{\pi} X/N$ is a G-covering, this implies that $X = \cup_i \widetilde{U}_i$, where each $\widetilde{U}_i \cong_G G \times_H U_i$, and U_i is a G-contractible open set where H acts trivially, $\widetilde{U}_i \simeq_G G$ and $\pi(\widetilde{U}_i) \simeq_{G/N} G/N$.

The map $\chi_* : \underline{k}^{G/N}_*(G/N) \to \underline{k}^G_*(G)$ is an isomorphism because we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{k}_*^G(G) \arrow[d, "\chi_*"] & \underline{k}_*(*) \arrow[l, "i_*"'] \arrow[d, "id"] \\
\underline{k}_*^{G/N}(G/N) & \underline{k}_*(*) \arrow[l, "i_*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the map i_* is an isomorphism (lemma 4.1). Now, the result for general X follows by an induction argument using a Mayer-Vietoris sequence. $\square$

With the above lemmas we can obtain an induction structure.

Theorem 4.5. *Given $\alpha : H \to G$ such that $ker(\alpha)$ acts freely in X, the map $i : X \to G \times_H X$ induces a a natural isomorphism*

$$i_* : \widetilde{k}_*^H(X) \to \widetilde{k}_*^G(G \times_H X).$$

Proof. If $\alpha : H \to G$ is a group homomorphism α can be obtained as the composition

$$H \xrightarrow{\alpha} im(\alpha) \xrightarrow{i} G,$$

then $G \times_H X \cong_G G \times_i (im(\alpha) \times_\alpha X)$, and this allows us to obtain the following isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^G(G \times_H X) \cong \widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^G(G \times_i (im(\alpha) \times_\alpha X)).$$

On the other hand lemma 4.1 implies

$$\widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^G(G \times_i (im(\alpha) \times_\alpha X)) \cong \widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^{im(\alpha)}(im(\alpha) \times_\alpha X),$$

from the homomorphism $\alpha : H \to im(\alpha)$ we obtain that $\bar{\alpha} : H/ker(\alpha) \to im(\alpha)$, and then

$$\widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^{im(\alpha)}(im(\alpha) \times_\alpha X) \cong \widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^{H/ker(\alpha)}(H/ker(\alpha) \times_\pi X)$$

where $\pi : H \to H/ker(\alpha)$ is the quotient map. Finally lemma 4.4 implies

$$\widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^{H/ker(\alpha)}(H/ker(\alpha) \times_\pi X) \cong \widetilde{\underline{k}}_*^H(X).$$

$\square$

We will verify the properties in the definition 2.2. For this we use that the map defined to obtain the above isomorphism is the *invariantization*.

(1) **Compatibility with the boundary homomorphism**. If $p : E \to B$ is a G-quasifibration we have a connecting morphism

$$\partial_n^G : \pi_n^G(B) \to \pi_{n-1}(F),$$

defined in the following way, if $[\varphi] \in \pi_n^G(B, b)$, this element can be viewed as an element of $\pi_n^G(E, p^{-1}(b), x_0) \cong \pi_n^G(F, f)$ (since p is a quasifibration), then the image of φ by this identification that we denote by $\widetilde{\varphi} : (D^n, \partial D^n) \to (E^G, (p^{-1}(b))^G, x_0)$, restricted to $\partial D \cong S^{n-1}$ and taking its homotopy class $[\widetilde{\varphi}_{S^{n-1}}]$ can be viewed as an element of $\pi^G_{n-1}(F, f)$. The above argument implies that the connecting morphism in this case is given by a restriction. The compatibility with the boundary map follows from the fact that the invariantization commutes with restrictions.

(2) **Functoriality.** This property follows from the fact that to take invariantization is *transitive*, that means, if we have homomorphisms $\alpha : H \to G$ and $\beta : G \to K$ then the invariantization map defined from $C_0(K \times_{\beta\circ\alpha} X)$ to $C_0(X)$ with X a H-space is the composition of the invariantizations defined from $C_0(K \times_{\beta\circ\alpha} X)$ to $C_0(G \times_\alpha X)$ and from $C_0(G \times_\alpha X)$ to $C_0(X)$.

(3) **Compatibility with conjugation.** This property follows from the fact that to conjugate and later to take the invariantization is the same as to take the invariantization without conjugate.

The above argument and theorem 4.5 proves the following.

Proposition 4.6. *The functor $\pi_*(\_, ?)$ is an equivariant homology theory in the sense of definition 2.2.*

5. The algebra $\mathfrak{F}^q_G(X)$

Segal following some ideas in [Grojnowski(1996)] studied the algebra

$$\mathfrak{F}^q(X) = \bigoplus q^n K_{S_n}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

endowed with a product defined using the induction functor on equivariant K-theory. Segal found an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{F}^q(X)$ and the homology of the configuration space $\mathfrak{C}(X)$. More specifically:

Theorem 5.1 ([Segal(1996)])**.** *Let X be a Spin^c-manifold, then there is a Hopf-algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{F}^q(X) \cong H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X); \mathbb{C}),$$

where $H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X); \mathbb{C})$ is endowed with the Pontryagin product.

The goal of this section is to obtain an equivariant version of the above theorem, and to do that we consider the Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{F}^q_G(X)$ defined in [Wang(2000)]. This algebra is the equivariant analogue of $\mathfrak{F}^q(X)$. We will show that there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism between the homology of the fixed point space $H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X, G)^G; \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{F}^q_G(X)$.

We define $\mathfrak{F}^q_G(X)$ following [Wang(2000)]. Let X be a topological space endowed with an action of a finite group G. We consider the *wreath* product $G_n := G \sim S_n$ which is a semidirect product of the n-th direct product G^n of G and the symmetric group S_n, if G acts in X there is an action of the group G_n on X^n induced by the actions of G^n and S_n on X^n . In [Wang(2000)] Wang studied (motivated by [Segal(1996)]), several structures that appear in the group

$$\mathfrak{F}_G(X) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} K^*_{G_n}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where $K^*_G(\_) = K^0_G(\_) \oplus K^1_G(\_)$. In particular Wang shows that $\mathfrak{F}_G(X)$ admits a natural Hopf algebra structure that we describe in the following.

Note that $K_G(pt)$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck ring $R(G)$ and that $K_G(pt) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to the ring $Class(G)$ of class functions on G. The bilinear map $\star$ induced from the tensor product

$$K_G(pt) \otimes K_G(X) \to K_G(X)$$

gives rise to a natural $K_G(pt)$-module structure on $K_G(X)$. Thus $K_G(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ naturally decomposes into a direct sum over the set of conjugacy classes G_* of G. The following theorem [Atiyah and Segal(1989)] gives a description of each direct summand.

Fact 5.2. *There is a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded isomorphism*

$$\phi : K_G(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \bigoplus_{[g]} K(X^g/Z_G(g)) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Explicitly the isomorphism ϕ is defined as follows when X is compact: if E is a G-vector bundle over X its restriction to X^g is acted by g with base points fixed. Thus $E|_{X^g}$ can be decomposed as a direct sum of subbundles E_μ consisting of eigenspaces of g fiberwise for each eigenvalue μ of g. The group $Z_G(g)$ acts on X^g and $\sum_\mu E_\mu \otimes \mu$ indeed lies in the $Z_G(g)$-invariant part of $K^0(X^g) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Put

$$\phi_g(E) = \sum_\mu E_\mu \otimes \mu \in K^0(X^g/Z_G(g)) \otimes \mathbb{C} = K^0(X^g)^{Z_G(g)} \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

The isomorphism ϕ on the K^0 part is given by $\phi = \oplus_{[g]\in G_*} \phi_g$. Then we can extend the isomorphism ϕ to K^1 as $K^1_G(X)$ can be identified with the kernel of the map from $K^0_G(X \times S^1)$ to $K^0_G(X)$ given by the inclusion of a point in S^1. When X is a point the isomorphism ϕ becomes the map from a representation of G to its character.

We denote

$$\mathfrak{F}^q_G(X) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} q^n K_{G_n}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

where q is a formal variable counting the graded structure of $\mathfrak{F}_G(X)$.

Define a multiplication $\cdot$ on $\mathfrak{F}_G(X)$ by a composition of the induction map and the Kunneth isomorphism k:

$$(K_{G_n}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (K_{G_m}(X^m) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{k} K_{G_n \times G_m}(X^{n+m}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{Ind} K_{G_{n+m}}(X^{n+m}).$$

We denote by 1 the unit in $K_{G_0}(X^0) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

On the other hand we can define a comultiplication Δ on $\mathfrak{F}_G(X)$, given by a composition of the inverse of the Kunneth isomorphism and the restriction from G_n to $G_k \times G_{nk}$:

$$K_{G_n}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \bigoplus_{m=0}^{n} K_{G_m \times G_{n-m}}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{n} K_{G_m}(X^m) \otimes K_{G_{n-m}}(X^{n-m}) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

We define the counit $\epsilon : \mathfrak{F}_G(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ by sending $K_{G_n}(X^n)$ $(n > 0)$ to 0 and $1 \in K_{G_0}(X^0) \cong \mathbb{C}$ to 1.

Theorem 5.3. *(*[Wang(2000), Thm. 2] *or* [Segal(1996)]*) With the operations defined as above, $\mathfrak{F}^q_G(X)$ becomes a graded Hopf algebra.*

It is possible to give an explicit description of $\mathfrak{F}^q_G(X)$ as a graded algebra which in particular calculates the dimension of $K_{G_n}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 5.4. *(*[Wang(2000), Thm. 3]*) As a $(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$-graded algebra $\mathfrak{F}^q_G(X)$ is isomorphic to the supersymmetric algebra $\mathbb{S}(\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} q^n K_G(X))$. In particular,*

$$dim_q \mathfrak{F}_G(X) := \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n dim(K_{G_n}(X^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \frac{\prod_{r\geq 1}(1+q^r)^{dim K^1_G(X)}}{\prod_{r\geq 1}(1-q^r)^{dim K^0_G(X)}}.$$

The supersymmetric algebra here is equal to the tensor product of the symmetric algebra $S(\oplus_{n\geq 1} q^n K^0_G(X))$ and the exterior algebra $\Lambda(\oplus_{n\geq 1} q^n K^1_G(X))$.

The prove of the above theorem follows from applying in an appropiate way the fact 5.2 and to use a formula for the G-induced character of a H-vector bundle ($H \subseteq G$), see for example [Velásquez(2008)].

Remark 5.5. *When X is a point, $\mathfrak{F}_G(pt) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} R(G_n)$. In particular,*

$$dim_q \mathfrak{F}_G(pt) = \prod_{r\geq 1} (1-q^r)^{|G_*|}.$$

5.1. **Chern character.** We want to find a description of $H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C})$ and to do that we use a Chern character defined by Lück in [Lück(2002)]. Here we consider $H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C})$ with the product induced by the Hopf-space structure of $\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G$, that we define as follows.

Given $F_1 \in Hom^*(C_0(X), FR_n(\mathbb{H}_G))^G$ and $F_2 \in Hom^*(C_0(X), FR_m(\mathbb{H}_G))^G$, we define

$$F_1 \cdot F_2 \in Hom^*(C_0(X), FR_{n+m}(\mathbb{H}_G))^G$$

$$(F_1 \cdot F_2)(f) := F_1(f) \oplus F_2(f),$$

where $\oplus$ is the *internal* direct sum of operators, defined as the composition

$$\mathbb{H}_G \xrightarrow{F_1(f)\oplus F_2(f)} \mathbb{H}_G \oplus \mathbb{H}_G \xrightarrow{\zeta} \mathbb{H}_G,$$

where ζ is a G-isomorphism of complete G-universes. With this operation $\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G$ is a pathwise connected homotopy associative Hopf space with unit.

Proposition 5.6. *Let X be a finite G-CW-complex we have an isomorphism*

$$H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{S}}(\widetilde{k}^G_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. By the theorem 2.16 there is an isomorphism of graded Hopf algebras

$$H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{S}}(\pi_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C})),$$

but by the theorem 4.2 we have the desired isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathbb{S}}(\pi_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C})) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{S}}(\widetilde{k}_*^G(X)\otimes\mathbb{C}).$$

□

We will apply the Chern character defined by Lück to the equivariant homology theory $k_*^?$.

For a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ we denote by $N_G(H)$ the normalizer and by $C_G(H)$ the centralizer of H in G. Let $H\cdot C_G(H)$ be the subgroup of $N_G(H)$ consisting of elements of the form hc for $h\in H$ and $c\in C_G(H)$. Denote by $W_G(H)$ the quotient $N_G(H)/H\cdot C_G(H)$. Notice that $W_G(H)$ is finite if H is finite.

Let $class_{\mathbb{Q}}(H)$ be the ring of functions $f : H \to \mathbb{Q}$ which satisfy $f(h_1) = f(h_2)$ if the cyclic subgroups $\langle h_1\rangle$ and $\langle h_2\rangle$ generated by h_1 and h_2 are conjugate in H. Taking characters yields an isomorphism of rings

$$\chi : R_{\mathbb{Q}}(H)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} class_{\mathbb{Q}}(H).$$

Given a finite cyclic group C, there is the idempotent $\theta_C^C \in class_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ which assigns 1 to a generator of C and 0 to the other elements. This element acts on $H_n^G(*)$. The image $im(\theta_C^C : H_n^G(*) \to H_n^G(*))$ of the map given by multiplication with the idempotent θ_C^C is a direct summand in $H_n^G(*)$ and will be denoted by $\theta_C^C\cdot H_n^G(*)$.

Theorem 5.7. *(*[Lück(2002), Thm.0.3]*) Given a proper equivariant homology theory $\mathcal{H}_*^?$ with coefficients in the complex class function ring. For any group G and any pr.oper G-CW-pair (X,A), let J be the set of conjugacy classes (C) of finite cyclic subgroups C of G. Then there is an isomorphism of proper homology theories*

$$ch_*^? : \mathcal{BH}_*^? \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}_*^?$$

such that

$$\mathcal{BH}_*^G(X,A;\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n}\bigoplus_{(C)\in J} H_p((X^C,A^C)/C_G(C);\mathbb{C})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W_G(C)]} im(\theta_C^C : \mathcal{H}_q^C(*;\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{H}_q^C(*;\mathbb{C})).$$

Using the above theorem we obtain

Theorem 5.8. *Let X be a G-CW-complex there is natural isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{k}_*^G(X)\otimes\mathbb{C} \cong \widetilde{H}_*^G(X;\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathbb{C}[q] \cong \widetilde{K}_*^G(X)\otimes\mathbb{C}[q].$$

Proof. In the case of k_*^G, $im(\theta_C^C) = k_q^e(*)\otimes\mathbb{C}\cong\mathbb{C}$, then the chern character reduces to

$$k_n^G(X)\otimes\mathbb{C} \cong H_n^G(X;\mathbb{C}) \oplus H_{n-2}^G(X;\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots ,$$

where $H^G_*(\_;\mathbb{C})$ denotes the Bredon homology with coefficients in the complex class function ring. Taking the sum over $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain a graded $\mathbb{C}$-vector space isomorphism:

$$k^G_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong H^G_*(X;\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q] \cong K^G_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q]$$

or in the reduced case

$$\widetilde{k}^G_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \widetilde{H}^G_*(X;\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q] \cong \widetilde{K}^G_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q],$$

where the last isomorphism is obtained using theorem 5.7 applied to the equivariant homology theory $K^?_*$ in a similar way as we do for $k^?_*$. □

5.2. **A description of $H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C})$.** Finally we obtain the main result of the section.

Theorem 5.9. *Let X be a even dimensional G-Spin^c-manifold. We have an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{F}^q_G(X).$$

Proof. As X is an even dimensional G-manifold with a G-Spin^c structure we can use Poincaré duality in the form of fact 2.9 and obtain the following isomorphism of graded Hopf algebras

$$\widehat{\mathbb{S}}(\widetilde{k}^G_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{S}}(\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} q^n \widetilde{K}_G(X_+)) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{S}}(\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} q^n K_G(X)).$$

Combining proposition 5.6, the above isomorphism and theorem 5.4 we obtain

$$H_*(\mathfrak{C}(X,G)^G;\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{F}^q_G(X).$$

□

When $X = \{*\}$ we obtain:

Corollary 5.10.

$$H_*((BU_G)^G;\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{F}^q_G(\{*\}) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} R(G_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

We also have

$$H_*((BU_G)^G;\mathbb{C}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{S}}(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} R(G_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[[\sigma_1^1, \ldots, \sigma_1^{k_1}, \sigma_2^1, \ldots]]$$

where $\{\sigma_i^1, \cdots, \sigma_i^{k_i}\}$ is a complete set of non isomorphic irreducible representations of G_n and the degree of σ_i is equal to $2i$. We hope that the elements σ_i^k correspond with the G-equivariant Chern classes.

References

[Adams(1995)] J. F. Adams. *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1995. ISBN 0-226-00524-0. Reprint of the 1974 original.

[Atiyah and Macdonald(1969)] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969.

[Atiyah and Segal(1989)] M. F. Atiyah and Graeme Segal. On equivariant Euler characteristics. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 6(4):671–677, 1989. ISSN 0393-0440. doi: 10.1016/0393-0440(89)90032-6. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0393-0440(89)90032-6`.

[Atiyah and Singer(1969)] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. Index theory for skew-adjoint Fredholm operators. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (37):5–26, 1969. ISSN 0073-8301.

[Atiyah et al.(1964)Atiyah, Bott, and Shapiro] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. Clifford modules. *Topology*, 3(suppl. 1):3–38, 1964. ISSN 0040-9383.

[Blackadar(1998)] Bruce Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998. ISBN 0-521-63532-2.

[Bredon(1967)] Glen E. Bredon. Equivariant cohomology theories. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73:266–268, 1967. ISSN 0002-9904.

[Dold and Thom(1958)] Albrecht Dold and René Thom. Quasifaserungen und unendliche symmetrische Produkte. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 67:239–281, 1958. ISSN 0003-486X.

[Grojnowski(1996)] I. Grojnowski. Instantons and affine algebras. I. The Hilbert scheme and vertex operators. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(2):275–291, 1996. ISSN 1073-2780.

[Higson and Roe(2000)] Nigel Higson and John Roe. *Analytic K-homology*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. ISBN 0-19-851176-0. Oxford Science Publications.

[Hohnhold et al.(2010)Hohnhold, Stolz, and Teichner] Henning Hohnhold, Stephan Stolz, and Peter Teichner. From minimal geodesics to supersymmetric field theories. 50:207–274, 2010.

[Lashof et al.(1983)Lashof, May, and Segal] R. K. Lashof, J. P. May, and G. B. Segal. Equivariant bundles with abelian structural group. In *Proceedings of the Northwestern Homotopy Theory Conference (Evanston, Ill., 1982)*, volume 19 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 167–176, Providence, RI, 1983. Amer. Math. Soc.

[Lewis et al.(1986)Lewis, May, Steinberger, and McClure] L. G. Lewis, Jr., J. P. May, M. Steinberger, and J. E. McClure. *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, volume 1213 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. ISBN 3-540-16820-6. With contributions by J. E. McClure.

[Lück(2002)] Wolfgang Lück. Chern characters for proper equivariant homology theories and applications to K- and L-theory. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 543:193–234, 2002. ISSN 0075-4102. doi: 10.1515/crll.2002.015. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.2002.015`.

[May(1996)] J. P. May. *Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory*, volume 91 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1996. ISBN 0-8218-0319-0. With contributions by M. Cole, G. Comezaña, S. Costenoble, A. D. Elmendorf, J. P. C. Greenlees, L. G. Lewis, Jr., R. J. Piacenza, G. Triantafillou, and S. Waner.

[Milnor and Moore(1965)] John W. Milnor and John C. Moore. On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 81:211–264, 1965. ISSN 0003-486X.

[Murayama(1983)] Mitutaka Murayama. On G-ANRs and their G-homotopy types. *Osaka J. Math.*, 20(3):479–512, 1983. ISSN 0030-6126. URL `http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.ojm/1200776318`.

[Murphy(1990)] Gerard J. Murphy. *C^*-algebras and operator theory*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1990. ISBN 0-12-511360-9.

[Peter and Weyl(1927)] F. Peter and H. Weyl. Die Vollständigkeit der primitiven Darstellungen einer geschlossenen kontinuierlichen Gruppe. *Math. Ann.*, 97(1):737–755, 1927. ISSN 0025-5831. doi: 10.1007/BF01447892. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01447892`.

[Segal(1977)] Graeme Segal. K-homology theory and algebraic K-theory. In *K-theory and operator algebras (Proc. Conf., Univ. Georgia, Athens, Ga., 1975)*, pages 113–127. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 575. Springer, Berlin, 1977.

[Segal(1996)] Graeme Segal. Equivariant K-theory and symmetric products. preprint, 1996.

[Velásquez(2008)] Mario Velásquez. Equivariant k-theory of the symmetric product. *Universidad de los Andes*, 2008. Master Thesis.

[Velásquez(2012)] Mario Velásquez. Equivariant connective k-homology and configuration spaces. *Universidad de los Andes*, 2012. PhD Thesis.

[Waner(1980)] Stefan Waner. Equivariant fibrations and transfer. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 258(2):369–384, 1980. ISSN 0002-9947. doi: 10.2307/1998062. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1998062`.

[Wang(2000)] Weiqiang Wang. Equivariant K-theory, wreath products, and Heisenberg algebra. *Duke Math. J.*, 103(1):1–23, 2000. ISSN 0012-7094.

[Whitehead(1978)] George W. Whitehead. *Elements of homotopy theory*, volume 61 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. ISBN 0-387-90336-4.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES, BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA

Current address: Universidad de Los Andes, Departamento de Matemáticas, Cra 1 N 18A- 12 edificio H, Bogotá, Colombia

E-mail address: `mavelasquezm@gmail.com`